

# PH 716 Applied Survival Analysis

## Part III: Nonparametric comparison of survival functions

Zhiyang Zhou (zhou67@uwm.edu, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2024/Mar/03 22:27:54

### Recall Ex. 2.2

```
data.ex22 = survival::pbc[complete.cases(survival::pbc[,1:4]), 1:4]
data.ex22$status = 1*(data.ex22$status %in% c(1,2)) # merging status 1 and 2
survminer::ggsurvplot(
  survival::survfit(survival::Surv(time, status)~trt, data=data.ex22, conf.type="log-log"),
  xlab="Time",
  conf.int = T,
  conf.int.style="step",
  censor=F,
  risk.table = F,
  cumevents = F,
  tables.height = 0.15
)
```

---

### Recall the hypothesis testing (from the perspective of binary classification)

- Make a decision between the null hypothesis  $H_0$  and the alternative one  $H_1$
- Potential outcomes
  - True positive (TP) =  $H_0$  correctly rejected
  - False positive (FP, i.e., type I error) =  $H_0$  incorrectly rejected
  - True negative (TN) =  $H_0$  is correctly accepted
  - False negative (FN, i.e., type II error) =  $H_0$  incorrectly accepted
  - E.g.,  $H_0$  : healthy vs  $H_1$  : sick
    - \* TP: sick people identified as sick
    - \* FP: healthy people identified as sick
    - \* TN: healthy people identified as healthy
    - \* FN: sick people identified as healthy

---

	Accept $H_0$	Reject $H_0$
$H_0$ is true	True negative (TN)	False positive (FP, i.e., type I error)
$H_0$ is false	False negative (FN, i.e., type II error)	True positive (TP)

---

- Evaluating the error rate
  - Misclassification rate =  $\Pr(\text{FP}) + \Pr(\text{FN})$
  - False discovery rate (FDR) =  $\Pr(\text{FP}) / \{\Pr(\text{FP}) + \Pr(\text{TP})\}$ 
    - \* controlling for sequential/simultaneous testing

- True positive rate (TPR, i.e., sensitivity) =  $\Pr(\text{TP})/\{\Pr(\text{TP}) + \Pr(\text{FN})\}$
- False positive rate (FPR) =  $\Pr(\text{FP})/\{\Pr(\text{FP}) + \Pr(\text{FN})\}$
- Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC curve): plot of TPR vs FPR
  - \* Area under the ROC curve (AUC)
- True negative rate (TNR, i.e., specificity) =  $\Pr(\text{TN})/\{\Pr(\text{TN}) + \Pr(\text{FP})\}$
- The (optimal) hypothesis testing is a strategy minimizing  $\Pr(\text{FN})$  subject to capped  $\Pr(\text{FP})$ , i.e.,

$$\text{minimize } \Pr(\text{type II error}) \quad \text{subject to } \Pr(\text{type I error}) \leq \alpha$$

- $\alpha$  is the significance level

## Assumptions

- Independent and non-informative right-censoring
- All  $T_i$  are independent of each other
- $T_i | i \in \text{group } k \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \lambda_k(t)$  for each  $k$

## Hypotheses to be tested

- Null hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda_1(t) = \lambda_2(t) = \lambda(t)$  for all  $t$
- Alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  could be:
  - One-sided  $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \geq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) > \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$
  - One-sided  $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) < \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$
  - Two-sided  $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \neq \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$

## Two-sample log-rank test

- Distinct observed event times across the POOLED sample are  $t_1 < \dots < t_{n_D}$ 
  - At time  $t_j$ , there are  $d_{kj}$  events in group  $k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , and  $d_j = d_{1j} + d_{2j}$
  - Just prior to  $t_j$ , there are  $r_{kj}$  at risk in group  $k$  and  $r_j = r_{1j} + r_{2j}$
- Test statistic
  - $U_k/\sqrt{V} \approx N(0, 1)$  under  $H_0$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ 
    - \*  $U_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n_D} r_{kj}(d_{kj}/r_{kj} - d_j/r_j) = r_{kj}\{\hat{\lambda}_1(t_j) - \hat{\lambda}(t_j)\}$ 
      - $\hat{\lambda}_1(t_j)$ : estimated hazard rate at  $t_j$  for group  $k$
      - $\hat{\lambda}(t_j)$ : estimated hazard rate at  $t_j$  for pooled population
      - $d_{kj} = r_{kj}\hat{\lambda}_1(t_j)$ : observed number of events from sample  $k$  at time  $t_j$
      - $r_{kj}\hat{\lambda}(t_j)$ : expected number of events from sample  $k$  at time  $t_j$  under  $H_0$
    - \*  $V = \text{var}(U_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_D} \frac{d_j r_{1j} r_{2j} (r_j - d_j)}{r_j^2 (r_j - 1)}$
    - \*  $U_1 = U_2$
  - The log-rank test is rank-based; one could construct the test statistic using only the order of observed event times alone.
- Rejection region
  - 2-sided:  $|U_k/\sqrt{V}| > z_{1-\alpha/2}$  or equiv.  $U_k^2/V > \chi_{1,1-\alpha}^2$ 
    - \*  $z_{1-\alpha/2}$  is the  $1 - \alpha/2$  quantile of  $N(0, 1)$
    - \*  $\chi_{1,1-\alpha}^2$  is the  $1 - \alpha$  quantile of  $\chi^2(1)$
  - 1-sided ( $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \geq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) > \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$ ):  $U_1/\sqrt{V} > z_{1-\alpha}$
  - 1-sided ( $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) < \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$ ):  $-U_1/\sqrt{V} > z_{1-\alpha}$
- $p$ -value
  - 2-sided:  $p = 2\{1 - \Phi(|U_k/\sqrt{V}|)\}$ 
    - \*  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the cdf of  $N(0, 1)$
  - 1-sided ( $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \geq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) > \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$ ):  $p = \{1 - \Phi(U_1/\sqrt{V})\}$
  - 1-sided ( $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) < \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$ ):  $p = \{1 - \Phi(-U_1/\sqrt{V})\}$

### Ex. 3.1. Revisit the PBC data

```
data.ex22 = survival::pbc[complete.cases(survival::pbc[,1:4]), 1:4]
data.ex22$status = 1*(data.ex22$status %in% c(1,2)) # merging status 1 and 2
# For 2-sided H1 only
survival::survdif(
  formula = survival::Surv(time, status)~trt, data=data.ex22
)
survminer::surv_pvalue(
  fit = survival::survfit(formula = survival::Surv(time, status)~trt, data=data.ex22),
  method = 'log-rank'
)
# For 2-sided or 1-sided H1
nph::logrank.test(
  time = data.ex22$time,
  event = data.ex22$status,
  group = data.ex22$trt,
  alternative = 'two.sided' # 'two.sided', 'less', 'greater'
)$test
```

- Demo report of testing results (covering necessary components: hypotheses, the name of method, the  $p$ -value/rejection region, the significance level, and the conclusion):
  - “Testing hypotheses  $H_0 : \underline{\quad}$  vs.  $H_1 : \underline{\quad}$ , we carried on the  $\underline{\quad}$  test.”
    - \* “The  $p$ -value is  $\underline{\quad}$ . So, at the  $\underline{\quad}$  level, there was/wasn’t a strong statistical evidence against  $H_0$ , i.e., we believed that  $\underline{\quad}$ .”
    - \* OR “The value of test statistic is  $T = \underline{\quad}$ . Given the level  $\underline{\quad}$  rejection region  $T > \underline{\quad}$ , there was/wasn’t a strong statistical evidence against  $H_0$ , i.e., we believed that  $\underline{\quad}$ .”

### Comparing $>2$ survival curves

- Hypotheses to be tested
  - Null hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda_1(t) = \dots = \lambda_K(t) = \lambda(t)$  for all  $t$
  - Alternative hypothesis  $H_1 : \lambda_{k_1}(t) \neq \lambda_{k_2}(t)$  for certain  $t$  and certain 2-tuple  $(k_1, k_2)$
- Ex. 3.2. (Bladder Cancer Recurrences) A dataset on recurrences of bladder cancer. It contains three treatment arms for 118 subjects.

```
data.ex32 = survival::bladder1[
  complete.cases(survival::bladder1[,c('id', 'treatment', 'start', 'stop', 'status')]),
  c('id', 'treatment', 'start', 'stop', 'status')
]
data.ex32$status = 1*(data.ex32$status %in% c(1,2,3)) # merging status 1, 2,3
data.ex32$time = data.ex32$stop - data.ex32$start
survival::survdif(
  formula = survival::Surv(time, status)~treatment, data=data.ex32
)
# Or
survminer::surv_pvalue(
  fit = survival::survfit(formula = survival::Surv(time, status)~treatment, data=data.ex32),
  method = 'log-rank'
)
```

### Testing for trend

- Hypotheses to be tested
  - Null hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda_1(t) = \dots = \lambda_K(t) = \lambda(t)$  for all  $t$ ,  $K > 2$

- Alternative hypothesis  $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_K(t)$  or  $\lambda_1(t) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_K(t)$ , with at least one strict inequality
- Ex. 3.3. Revisit the data of bladder cancer recurrences

```

data.ex33 = survival::bladder1[
  complete.cases(survival::bladder1[,c('id', 'treatment', 'start', 'stop', 'status')]),
  c('id', 'treatment', 'start', 'stop', 'status')
]
data.ex33$status = 1*(data.ex33$status %in% c(1,2,3)) # merging status 1, 2,3
data.ex33$time = data.ex33$stop - data.ex33$start
data.ex33$treatment = factor(data.ex33$treatment, levels = c("placebo","pyridoxine","thiotepa"))
survminer::surv_pvalue(
  fit = survival::survfit(formula = survival::Surv(time, status)~treatment, data=data.ex33),
  method = 'log-rank',
  test.for.trend = T
)
# The order of treatments matters
data.ex33$treatment = factor(data.ex33$treatment, levels = c("placebo","thiotepa","pyridoxine"))
survminer::surv_pvalue(
  fit = survival::survfit(survival::Surv(time, status)~treatment, data=data.ex33),
  method = 'log-rank',
  test.for.trend = T
)

```