

# PH 716 Applied Survival Analysis

## Part 3: Comparing Multiple Survival Functions

Zhiyang Zhou (zhou67@uwm.edu, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2026/02/17 10:56:32

### A motivating real-world study: Acute Myelogenous Leukemia (AML)

We consider data from a clinical study of patients with AML. After achieving remission, patients were assigned to one of two groups: maintained remission therapy and no maintenance therapy. The outcome of interest is the time (in weeks) to relapse or death. Some patients did not relapse during follow-up, resulting in right-censored observations.

```
head(survival::aml)
```

```
##   time status      x
## 1    9     1 Maintained
## 2   13     1 Maintained
## 3   13     0 Maintained
## 4   18     1 Maintained
## 5   23     1 Maintained
## 6   28     0 Maintained
```

From this study, clinicians naturally ask:

- Do patients receiving maintenance therapy remain relapse-free longer?
- Are the survival experiences between the two groups different?
- Is any observed difference statistically significant?

### Adapting the workflow in the last lecture

```
library(survival)
library(survminer)
data_Maintained <- aml[aml$x == 'Maintained',]
data_Nonmaintained <- aml[aml$x == 'Nonmaintained',]
km_Maintained <- survfit(
  formula = Surv(time, status) ~ 1
  ,data = data_Maintained,
  ,conf.type = "log-log"
)
km_Nonmaintained <- survfit(
  formula = Surv(time, status) ~ 1
  ,data = data_Nonmaintained,
  ,conf.type = "log-log"
)
survminer::ggsurvplot(
  fit = list("Maintained" = km_Maintained, "Nonmaintained" = km_Nonmaintained),
  xlab = "Time",
```

```

conf.int = TRUE,
conf.int.style = "step",
censor = TRUE,
legend.labs = c("Maintained", "Nonmaintained"),
risk.table = FALSE,
cumevents = FALSE,
tables.height = 0.15,
combine = TRUE # two curves in one plot
)

```

## Updated workflow (more concise)

```

library(survival)
library(survminer)
km_amr <- survfit(
  formula = Surv(time, status) ~ x
  ,data = amr,
  ,conf.type = "log-log"
)
summary(km_amr)
survminer::ggsurvplot(
  fit = km_amr,
  xlab = "Time",
  conf.int = TRUE,
  conf.int.style = "step",
  censor = TRUE,
  risk.table = FALSE,
  cumevents = FALSE,
  tables.height = 0.15
)

```

## Assumptions for the log-rank test

- Independent survival times across subjects.
- Independent and non-informative right censoring:  $C_i \perp T_i \mid \text{group}$ .
- Fixed group membership.
- Within each group  $k$ , the survival times are identically distributed with hazard function  $\lambda_k(t)$ .

## Hypotheses to be tested

- Null hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda_1(t) = \lambda_2(t) = \lambda(t)$  for all  $t$ 
  - $\lambda(t)$  is the hazard function for the combined population of the two groups
- Alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  could be:
  - One-sided  $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \geq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) > \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$
  - One-sided  $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) < \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$
  - Two-sided  $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \neq \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$

## Two-sample log-rank test

- Basic idea: comparing individual estimated survival to the pooled estimated survival
  - Under  $H_0$ , the two groups are from the same population, so their estimated survival curves should be close to each other and to the pooled estimated survival curve.

```

library(survival)
library(survminer)
data_Maintained <- aml[aml$x == 'Maintained',]
data_Nonmaintained <- aml[aml$x == 'Nonmaintained',]
km_Maintained <- survfit(
  formula = Surv(time, status) ~ 1
  ,data = data_Maintained,
  ,conf.type = "log-log"
)
km_Nonmaintained <- survfit(
  formula = Surv(time, status) ~ 1
  ,data = data_Nonmaintained,
  ,conf.type = "log-log"
)
km_Pooled <- survfit(
  formula = Surv(time, status) ~ 1
  ,data = aml,
  ,conf.type = "log-log"
)
survminer::ggsurvplot(
  fit = list("Maintained" = km_Maintained, "Nonmaintained" = km_Nonmaintained, 'Pooled'= km_Pooled),
  xlab = "Time",
  conf.int = F,
  conf.int.style = "step",
  censor = TRUE,
  legend.labs = c("Maintained", "Nonmaintained", 'Pooled'),
  risk.table = FALSE,
  cumevents = FALSE,
  tables.height = 0.15,
  combine = TRUE
)

```

- 
- Distinct observed event times across the POOLED sample are  $t_1 < \dots < t_{n_D}$ 
    - At time  $t_j$ , there are  $d_{kj}$  events in group  $k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , and  $d_j = d_{1j} + d_{2j}$
    - Just prior to  $t_j$ , there are  $r_{kj}$  at risk in group  $k$  and  $r_j = r_{1j} + r_{2j}$
  - Test statistic
    - $U_k/\sqrt{V} \approx N(0, 1)$  under  $H_0$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ 
      - \*  $U_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n_D} r_{kj}(d_{kj}/r_{kj} - d_j/r_j) = r_{kj}\{\hat{\lambda}_1(t_j) - \hat{\lambda}(t_j)\}$
      - $\hat{\lambda}_1(t_j)$ : estimated hazard rate at  $t_j$  for group  $k$
      - $\hat{\lambda}(t_j)$ : estimated hazard rate at  $t_j$  for pooled population
      - $d_{kj} = r_{kj}\hat{\lambda}_1(t_j)$ : observed number of events from sample  $k$  at time  $t_j$
      - $r_{kj}\hat{\lambda}(t_j)$ : expected number of events from sample  $k$  at time  $t_j$  under  $H_0$
    - \*  $V = \text{var}(U_k) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_D} \frac{d_j r_{1j} r_{2j} (r_j - d_j)}{r_j^2 (r_j - 1)}$
    - \*  $U_1 = U_2$
    - The log-rank test is rank-based; one could construct the test statistic using only the order of observed event times alone.
  - Rejection region
    - 2-sided:  $|U_k/\sqrt{V}| > z_{1-\alpha/2}$  or equiv.  $U_k^2/V > \chi^2_{1,1-\alpha}$ 
      - \*  $z_{1-\alpha/2}$  is the  $1 - \alpha/2$  quantile of  $N(0, 1)$
      - \*  $\chi^2_{1,1-\alpha}$  is the  $1 - \alpha$  quantile of  $\chi^2(1)$
    - 1-sided ( $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) > \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$ ):  $U_1/\sqrt{V} > z_{1-\alpha}$

- 1-sided ( $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) < \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$ ):  $-U_1/\sqrt{V} > z_{1-\alpha}$
- $p$ -value
  - 2-sided:  $p = 2\{1 - \Phi(|U_k/\sqrt{V}|)\}$ 
    - \*  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the cdf of  $N(0, 1)$
  - 1-sided ( $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \geq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) > \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$ ):  $p = \{1 - \Phi(U_1/\sqrt{V})\}$
  - 1-sided ( $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \leq \lambda_2(t)$  for all  $t$  and  $\lambda_1(t) < \lambda_2(t)$  for some  $t$ ):  $p = \{1 - \Phi(-U_1/\sqrt{V})\}$

## Revisit the AML data

```
library(survival)
library(survminer)
# For 2-sided H1 only
survival::survdiff(
  formula = survival::Surv(time, status) ~ x,
  data = aml
)
# OR
survminer::surv_pvalue(
  fit = survival::survfit(
    formula = survival::Surv(time, status) ~ x,
    data = aml
  ),
  method = 'log-rank'
)
# For 2-sided or 1-sided H1
nph::logrank.test(
  time = aml$time,
  event = aml$status,
  group = aml$x,
  alternative = 'two.sided' # 'two.sided', 'less', 'greater'
)$test
```

## Reporting the results of a log-rank test

- Recall the motivating questions from the AML study:
  - Do patients receiving maintenance therapy remain relapse-free longer?
  - Are the survival experiences between the two groups different?
  - Is any observed difference statistically significant?
- Demo report (covering necessary components: hypotheses, the name of method, the  $p$ -value/rejection region, the significance level, and the conclusion):
  - “Testing hypotheses  $H_0 : \text{___}$  vs.  $H_1 : \text{___}$ , we carried on the  $\text{___}$  test.”
  - \* “The  $p$ -value is  $\text{___}$ . So, at the  $\text{___}$  level, there was/wasn’t a strong statistical evidence against  $H_0$ , i.e., we believed that  $\text{___}$ .”
  - \* OR “The value of test statistic is  $T = \text{___}$ . Given the level  $\text{___}$  rejection region  $T > \text{___}$ , there was/wasn’t a strong statistical evidence against  $H_0$ , i.e., we believed that  $\text{___}$ .”

## Ex 3.1. Breast cancer data sets used in Royston and Altman (2013)

The `survival::gbsg` data set contains patient records from a 1984–1989 trial conducted by the German Breast Cancer Study Group (GBSG). It retains 686 patients with node positive breast cancer. Are the survival experiences between the two treatment groups different?

```
gbsg_simple = survival::gbsg[
  complete.cases(survival::gbsg[, c('hormon', 'rfstime', 'status')]),
```

```

  c('hormon', 'rfstime', 'status')
]
head(gbsg_simple)

```

```

##   hormon rfstime status
## 1      0     1838     0
## 2      0      403     1
## 3      0     1603     0
## 4      0      177     0
## 5      1     1855     0
## 6      0      842     1

```

## Comparing >2 survival curves

- Hypotheses to be tested
  - Null hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda_1(t) = \dots = \lambda_K(t) = \lambda(t)$  for all  $t$
  - Alternative hypothesis  $H_1 : \lambda_{k_1}(t) \neq \lambda_{k_2}(t)$  for certain  $t$  and certain 2-tuple  $(k_1, k_2)$

### Ex. 3.2. Bladder Cancer Recurrences

A dataset on recurrences of bladder cancer. It contains three treatment arms for 118 subjects.

```

data.ex32 = survival::bladder1[
  complete.cases(survival::bladder1[,c('id', 'treatment', 'start', 'stop', 'status')]),
  c('id', 'treatment', 'start', 'stop', 'status')
]
data.ex32$status = 1*(data.ex32$status %in% c(1,2,3)) # merging status 1, 2, 3
data.ex32$time = data.ex32$stop - data.ex32$start
survival::survdiff(
  formula = survival::Surv(time, status)~treatment, data=data.ex32
)
# Or
survminer::surv_pvalue(
  fit = survival::survfit(formula = survival::Surv(time, status)~treatment, data=data.ex32),
  method = 'log-rank'
)

```

## Testing for trend

- Hypotheses to be tested
  - Null hypothesis  $H_0 : \lambda_1(t) = \dots = \lambda_K(t) = \lambda(t)$  for all  $t, K > 2$
  - Alternative hypothesis  $H_1 : \lambda_1(t) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_K(t)$  or  $\lambda_1(t) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_K(t)$ , with at least one strict inequality

### Ex. 3.3. Revisit the data on bladder cancer recurrences

```

data.ex33 = survival::bladder1[
  complete.cases(survival::bladder1[,c('id', 'treatment', 'start', 'stop', 'status')]),
  c('id', 'treatment', 'start', 'stop', 'status')
]
data.ex33$status = 1*(data.ex33$status %in% c(1,2,3)) # merging status 1, 2, 3
data.ex33$time = data.ex33$stop - data.ex33$start
data.ex33$treatment = factor(data.ex33$treatment, levels = c("placebo", "pyridoxine", "thiotepa"))
survminer::surv_pvalue(

```

```
fit = survival::survfit(formula = survival::Surv(time, status)~treatment, data=data.ex33,
method = 'log-rank',
test.for.trend = T
)
# The order of treatments matters
data.ex33$treatment = factor(data.ex33$treatment, levels = c("placebo","thiotepa","pyridoxine"))
survminer::surv_pvalue(
  fit = survival::survfit(survival::Surv(time, status)~treatment, data=data.ex33),
  method = 'log-rank',
  test.for.trend = T
)
```